

CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

DATE/TIME: Monday, January 26, 2009

7:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Police Department Auditorium

870 Santa Barbara Drive

Roll Call

1. Minutes of December 15, 2008 (attachment)

- 2. Report on leaf blower regulation research, and discussion of possible next steps (attachment)
- 3. Task Force on Green Development Representatives' Report
 - a. Building Code amendments
 - b. Other
- 4. Report from Membership Subcommittee, and Committee recommendations to City Council on appointments to fill vacancies Community Association and Environmental Expertise categories
- 5. Coastal/Bay Water Quality Committee Representatives' Report
- 6. Economic Development Committee Representative's Report
- 7. Report from Staff on Current Projects
- 8. Public Comments
- 9. Future Agenda Items
- 10. Adjournment

NEXT MEETING DATE: February 23, 2009

^{*}Attachments can be found on the City's website http://www.city.newport-beach.ca.us. Once there, click on Agendas and Minutes then scroll to and click on Environmental Quality Affairs. If attachment is not on the web page, it is also available in the City of Newport Beach Planning Department, 3300 Newport Boulevard, Building C, 2nd Floor.



CITY OF NEWPORT BEACH ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

DRAFT MINUTES 12-15-08

Draft minutes of the Environmental Quality Affairs Committee held at the City of Newport Beach City Council Chambers, 3300 Newport Boulevard, on **Monday**, **December 15**, **2008**.

Members Present:

Χ	Nancy Gardner, Council Member	Χ	Barbara Thibault
Χ	Michael Henn, Council Member		Laura Curran
Χ	Kenneth Drellishak, Chair	X	Robert Rush
Χ	Kimberly Jameson	X	Sandra Haskell
	Kevin Kelly	X	Arlene Greer
Е	Michael Pascale	X	Barry Allen
Χ	Michael Smith	X	Kristine Adams
		Χ	Timothy Stoaks
	Laura Dietz		
Χ	Joan Penfil		
Χ	Bruce Asper		Ray Halowski
Χ	Merritt Van Sant	X	Michael Alti

Staff Representatives:

Guests:

Χ	Sharon Wood, Assistant City Manager	Philip Bettencourt	
		Barry Eaton	
		Robert Hawkins	
		Dolores Otting	

Chairperson Ken Drellishak called the meeting to order at 7:07 p.m. and introduced visitors.

1. Minutes of November 17, 2008

Arlene Greer moved to approve the minutes of November 17, 2008, with the following correction: Sandra Haskell made the motion to approve the minutes of September 15, 2008. Sandra Haskell seconded the motion.

Motion passed unanimously

2. Discussion of 2009 meeting schedule

Due to the Martin Luther King and Presidents Day holidays, the January meeting was rescheduled to January 26, and the February meeting was rescheduled to February 23.

3. Task Force on Green Development Representative's Report

Council member Gardner stated that the Task Force would like to report progress on Building Code amendments at the January 26, 2009 meeting.

4. Coastal/Bay Water Quality Committee Representative's Report

None

5. Economic Development Committee Representative's Report

Chairperson Drellishak reported that EDC received a report from utility companies on incentives to reduce consumption and lower costs in November. The December meeting was cancelled.

6. Report from Staff on Current Projects

Sharon Wood reported on the status of Marina Park, City Hall, Sunset Ridge Park, AERIE and the Westcliff Medical Arts building projects, all of which will have EIRs.

7. Public Comments

Philip Bettencourt reported on the volunteer effort to clear weeds from the Army Corps of Engineers mitigation area near the Santa Ana River, which is a least tern nesting area.

Barry Eaton commented expressed his interest in EQAC reviewing more mitigated negative declarations, and urged EQAC to review the environmental document for the updated Zoning Code when it is available.

Robert Hawkins agreed with Mr. Eaton. He noted that comment periods for MNDs are short, but it may be possible for EQAC to submit comments after the deadline and have them considered by the Planning Commission and City Council. He commented that EQAC continues to do a good job.

Sharon Wood reviewed the procedures for MND review provided in the EQAC Resolution, and reminded the Committee that notices of the availability of all environmental documents are provided via the City's e-select alert system. She noted that it is difficult for staff to respond to comments that are submitted after the deadline in time for Planning Commission and City Council hearings.

8. Future Agenda Items

January 26

- Report on Building Code amendments from Task Force on Green Development
- Report on leaf blower regulation research

February 23

Appointment of Marina Park subcommittee

12. Adjournment

Chair Drellishak adjourned the meeting at 8:40 p.m.



State of CA

no ban

Cities in CA

few with bans, several with ordinances

Concerns (Quality of Life / Health):

noise pollution

air pollution (dust, other debris, exhaust emissions)

Advantages:

- 1. leaf blowers have replaced use of brooms, hoses, rakes: ↑ productivity, thus ↑ profitability
- 2. efficient: less time per job site (reduced labor costs); true for private sector and municipalities
- 3. can clean other surfaces (non-hardscape) that brooms, hoses, rakes cannot; eg: rock, gravel, bark, mulch

Some Proposed Options:

- 1. status quo
- incentivized phase-out plans: retire older blowers, incorporate rebate program for purchase of new blowers
- 3. create ordinances with guidelines for and/or restrictions on:
 - a. acceptable use (days, times, distances, number used simultaneously, etc)
 - b. methods of operation
 - c. feasible enforcement

Alternatives to gas-powered leaf blowers:

- 1. electrical-powered units are not as loud and produce no exhaust
 - a. requires plug-in, which limits portability, thus ↓ efficiency
 - b. extension cord poses hazardous risks to operators (pools, spas, garden ponds, irrigation systems)
 - c. requires use of generator in lieu of plugging in to AC outlets at job sites (recall Santa Monica example given by Council Member Nancy Gardener):
 - i. results in 2 sources of noise
 - ii. replacing 1 source of emissions with another
- 2. water hose
 - a. not environmentally sound: waste of a natural resource
 - b. ↑ urban runoff
 - c. ↑ consumption of water = ↑ utility expense
- 3. broom
 - a. safe and eco-friendly, but inefficient compared with yield realized with blowers
- 4. rake
 - a. safe and eco-friendly, but inefficient compared with yield realized with blowers